Waterfront 2A - Concepts Report MCG4322



Waterfront Robot 2A

Marc-André Arsenault (8172498) Mathieu Carroll (8089784) Alexane Lahaie (8204533) Joshua O'Reilly (8359885)

University of Ottawa Department of Mechanical Engineering September 25, 2019

Contents

Li	st of	Figures	ii
Li	st of	Tables	ii
1	\mathbf{Pro}	ject Charter	1
	1.1	Mandate	1
	1.2	Requirements	1
	1.3	Constraints	1
	1.4	Criteria	1
2	Des	sign Concepts	2
	2.1	Concept 1 - Linkage	2
	2.2	Concept 2 - Crab	7
	2.3	Concept 3 - Spider	13
	2.4	Solar Panel Concepts	16
		2.4.1 Concept 1 - Solar Roof	16
		2.4.2 Concept 2 - Solar Awning	17
		2.4.3 Concept 3 - Solar Cells	18
3	Cos	st Assessment	19
	3.1	Concept Summary	19
	3.2	Cost Assessment	19
4	Dis	cussion	21
5	Ref	erences	22
\mathbf{A}	ppen	dix A Additional Material	25
\mathbf{A}	ppen	dix B Decision Analysis Tables	25
\mathbf{A}	ppen	dix C Detailed Cost Assessment	27
	C.1	Battery Selection	31
\mathbf{A}	ppen	dix D Data Sheets	32

List of Figures

1	Linkage Side View - Concept	2
2	Linkage Top View - Motor Crankshaft Assembly	3
3	Linkage Side View - Connecting Rod	3
4	Linkage Top View - Guiding Pin Mount Assembly	4
5	Linkage Side View - Guiding Pin and Bellow Mount Assembly	5
6	Linkage Side View - Water and Weather proof casing $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	6
7	Linkage Top View - Water and Weather proof casing $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	6
8	Linkage Top View - Structural Mounting Plate	7
9	Crab Top View - Concept	8
10	Crab Side View - Full Leg	9
11	Crab Top Section View (Section A) - Leg and Hip	10
12	Crab Side Section View (Section C) - U-bracket Mounting $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	10
13	Crab Top Section View (Section B) - Leg and Knee \hdots	11
14	Crab Side View - Leg and Foot	12
15	Crab Side View - Chassis	13
16	Spider Top View - Overview	14
17	Spider Front View - Frame	14
18	Spider Top View - Knee Joint	15
19	Spider Front View - Hip Joint	16
20	Solar roof concept	17
21	Solar awning concept	17
22	Custom solar cell positioning concept	18

List of Tables

1	Summary of concepts, broken down by sub-function	19
2	Robot Concepts Cost Summary	20
3	Solar Concepts Cost Summary	20
4	Decision analysis table for robot and leg concepts	26
5	Decision analysis table for solar panel concepts	27
6	Cost Analysis for Concept 1 - Linkage	27
7	Cost Analysis for Concept 2 - Crab	28
8	Cost Analysis for Concept 3 - Spider	29

9	Cost Analysis for Solar Concept 1 - Roof	29
10	Cost Analysis for Solar Concept 2 - Awning	30
11	Cost Analysis for Solar Concept 3 - Solar Cells	30
12	Part Source References	30
13	Approximate Power consumption of Crab Model (see Figure 9) (does not	
	include losses) \ldots	32
14	Part Source References	32

1 Project Charter

1.1 Mandate

The goal consists in creating a rugged device which uses a biomimetic inspired locomotion system to remove waste from waterfronts. It must be self-reliant and be resistant to exterior environments such as areas with minimal accessibility, weather and rough terrain.

1.2 Requirements

The design is to be solar powered, with a locomotion system inspired by biomimetic morphologies. The device must be able to operate in waterfront environments and difficult terrain such as sand, mud, rock, plants and bramble. It must be completely sealed to the elements such as rain, water, humidity, wind, sand, dust and high heat. It is also to be self-reliant and only require human intervention to empty the waste holding tank. This includes the consideration for potential vandalism. The design created by this group (2A) must interface with the litter collector of a size of 1 to 5000 cm³ and litter capacity from 1 to 5 kg, which is designed by another group (2B).

1.3 Constraints

As the design project is open-ended and covers a relatively new technology, there are very few constraints. The only constraint is that the device should not have any continuously rotating joints.

1.4 Criteria

The following criteria were selected as guides to optimize the design. First, the power consumption per kilogram (device and litter) is to be minimized. Additionally, the operating time of the device is to be maximized for more waste collecting efficiency. More specifically to the above required operating terrains, the ability to operate on sandy and pebble beaches as well as shallow water, mud and small plants (foot design, mechanical stability) should be optimized. The range of mobility of the device, such as turning and operating on slopes, is also to be optimized. Lastly, as the device will operate in public areas, the aesthetic appeal is to be considered.

2 Design Concepts

2.1 Concept 1 - Linkage

This concept uses the basic principle of a rotary-to-linear linkage by imposing movement restriction. The rotary movement is created by the motor, which is connected to the crankshaft. The rod connected to the crankshaft is modified to allow vertical sliding and rotation around the fixed guiding pin. The crankshaft mechanism allows for the leg/connecting rod to be lifted off the ground. The guiding pin restricts certain movements of the connecting rod, creating a pendulum movement. By combining both mechanisms, the connecting rod is moved front to back on the ground and back to front off the ground. By combining the pattern for each leg of the robot, a walking sequence is achieved. Figure 1 shows the concept of the mechanism.



Figure 1: Linkage Side View - Concept

In this concept, all legs are powered by their respective gearmotor. The shaft assembly from the gearmotor to the crankshaft is shown in Figure 2. The gearmotor is face/flange mounted on an L bracket mounted to the structural mounting plate (not shown in figure). The crankshaft is coupled to the gearmotor using a setscrew shaft coupler, and the crankshaft is supported on the opposite end of the gearmotor by a pillow mounted bearing.



Figure 2: Linkage Top View - Motor Crankshaft Assembly

The connecting rod is the leg/limb of the robot. It is coupled to the crankshaft using typical mounting procedures. As shown in Figure 3, the connecting rod is mounted on the crankshaft using two bolts and nuts and will rotate around the crankshaft through the use of bushings.



Figure 3: Linkage Side View - Connecting Rod

The guiding pin is the critical component in this concept as it enables the walking pattern and will be subject to multiple forces. The guiding pin is inserted through the sliding hole and fastened using the threaded end to mounting blocks. The sliding hole enables the pin to be supported on both end avoiding a cantilever support. The pin is also mounted with a spacer and bearing to facilitate rotation and reduce wear on the connecting rod. A top view of the guiding pin assembly is shown in Figure 4 and a side view of the guiding pin is shown in Figure 5. The Figure 5 also shows the mounting procedure for the bellow, the mounting plate and the exterior material. The mounting plate is a sheet metal acting as structural element to the chassis of the robot and will support all components. All major equipment are mounted on the mounting plate. The exterior material, most likely polycarbonate due to its weather resistant properties, will be an outer shell protecting electronic and mechanical components from water, salt and other destructive particles. The bellow is face mounted through the exterior material and through the mounting plate to allow for better structural integrity between the mounting plate and the outer shell. The other end of the bellow will be elastically tightened to the connecting rod between the feet and the guide pin sliding hole.



Figure 4: Linkage Top View - Guiding Pin Mount Assembly



Figure 5: Linkage Side View - Guiding Pin and Bellow Mount Assembly

The case's (or outer shell) purpose is to protect all equipment and components from exterior activities. Access to the components is required as they may be subject to maintenance. The case is thus separated in two parts, the top and bottom as shown in Figure 6. Both parts are sealed using a gasket as shown in 7 and they are fastened together using multiple bolts surrounding the casing. Figure 7 also shows the holes and bolt mounting location of the bellows on the outer shell case.



Figure 6: Linkage Side View - Water and Weather proof casing



Figure 7: Linkage Top View - Water and Weather proof casing

To achieve a stable and reliable locomotion, the robot will be equipped with six legs. The mounting plate must mount all components required for the locomotion, and power system. The Figure 8 depicts the layout of the equipment and their respective mounting holes. The robot will rotate using the same principle as a tracked tank, such that on one side the leg will complete the walking pattern more quickly than on the other side.

- 1. Battery
- 2. Computer
- 3. Solar Regulator
- Motor Controller
- 5. Hole for Connecting Rod
- 6. Gearmotor
- 7. Crankshaft pillow bearing
- 8. Guiding Pin holder
- Interior Mounting Plate bolt



Figure 8: Linkage Top View - Structural Mounting Plate

2.2 Concept 2 - Crab

The second concept is based on the movement of sideways-walking crabs. This idea was further developed to include legs at the front and back of the chassis instead of at the sides, as shown in Figure 9. The legs are thus making pulling and pushing motions. There are five legs in total, as a space is left at the front to accommodate the waste collection system.



Figure 9: Crab Top View - Concept

Figure 9 also shows the layout of the various electrical components within the chassis. Five battery packs are managed by the central Batter Management System that will then distribute the power to individual motor controllers. In case a battery pack dies, the system should be able to keep running fully with four, three or even one battery pack at reduced run times. The segmentation also allows for better weight distribution. One computer is responsible for locomotion and general computing and the second is used for computer vision/machine learning algorithms to identify litter and obstacles.

Figure 10 shows a side view of a full leg. The legs have two DOF: one up and down rotating motion at the hip, and another one at the knee. This allows for extension of the leg and up and down rotation. Both motors (here Maxon Motor EC 60 100 W, shown in Appendix D) controlling the motion are located inside the chassis, with a belt drive mechanism being used to control the knee. This will reduce the inertia of the leg and the use of electronics outside the chassis. There is no rotating motion on the legs which would cause them to be able to turn the robot left and right. However, this is possible by having the legs on one side walking faster than the other, or having one side walking forwards while

the other walks backwards. This method has been used in robots before, such as Stanford Doggo, and is also the method by which tanks turn on themselves [1].

Molded bellow covers are being used to cover the openings at the knee and the chassis. Both the clamping (cuff) mounting method (at the knee) and the flange mounting method (at the hip) are shown as possible options. As the bellows are rectangular/square, the flange mounting is more readily available, and thus was used for the cost estimate (rectangular cuff ends might require a custom bellow). The mounting of a square flange type bellow at the knee would be similar to the method shown in concept 3. The shin linkage has a corner in order to reduce the angle for the bellow. The linkages representing the thigh and shin may vary in length, thickness and material, depending on the results of the kinetic analysis. A carbon fiber square tubing was used for the linkages as a preliminary material for the cost assessment. Mechanical properties are shown in Appendix D



Figure 10: Crab Side View - Full Leg

Figures 11 and 12 show the detailed concept for the hip joint (Sections A and C as per Figure 10). The leftmost motor and gearbox in Figure 11 are attached directly to the leg and turn it from the hip; the second motor (on the right) turns the pulley, in turn manipulating the knee joint. Figure 12 illustrates the bracketing not shown in Figure 11, that connects the hip assembly to the chassis. It is cut perpendicular to the cut shown in Figure 10.

The gearbox is a Harmonic Drive CSD series component set, allowing for high torque in a very thin form-factor [2]. Their outer diameter varies between 50 and 170 mm, thickness between 11 and 33 mm, and output torques between 3.7 and 370 Nm. Their primary weakness is low efficiency (shown in Appendix D).



Figure 11: Crab Top Section View (Section A) - Leg and Hip



Figure 12: Crab Side Section View (Section C) - U-bracket Mounting

A detailed concept of the knee joint (Section B as per Figure 10) is shown in Figure 13.

It consists of a pulley (driven by one of the motors in the hip) which is directly fastened onto the lower shin linkage. The pulley is free to move on the shaft, which is supported by flange hub bearings on the thigh linkage. For this reason, no hub mount was drawn for this pulley, however in further design it may be required to add one to reduce the shaft size. In this case, a spacer would be added on the other side of the pulley to keep balance between the two sides. The knee can be easily assembled due to the simple shaft and the fastened side plates on the thigh linkage.



Figure 13: Crab Top Section View (Section B) - Leg and Knee

Figure 14 shows the foot design for the crab. It consists of a molded flexible silicon piece which can be slipped onto the shin linkage and is retained by a protruding ridge.



Figure 14: Crab Side View - Leg and Foot

A possible configuration for the weatherproofing and accessibility of the chassis is shown in Figure 15. A hinge is used on one side to facilitate access to the inside components for maintenance. A flange-type gasket is included all around the chassis to seal the "lid". It is secured by bolts.



Figure 15: Crab Side View - Chassis

2.3 Concept 3 - Spider

The third concept, shown in Figure 16, is based on a spider-like leg morphology. Six legs provide superior stability to four; each leg has three degrees of freedom, allowing it to rotate and lift at the hip, as well as extend at the knee [3]. Round flange-mounted bellows are used to seal the joints from water, dust, amongst others. The leg linkages are made out of I-beams for structural rigidity. Figure 16 also shows the location of the various electrical components. These are positioned onto mounting plates inside the chassis. A front view of the chassis is also shown in Figure 17.



Figure 16: Spider Top View - Overview



Figure 17: Spider Front View - Frame

A detailed drawing of the knee joint is shown in Figure 18. There are plate discs mounted onto the end of the I-beams to help in the mounting of components. They provide easy mounting points for the bellow flanges. Support plates for the motor are then added using L-brackets. The motor has a shaft that extends on both other ends, which allows it to be supported on both sides and balances the forces acting on the motor. The motor then is face mounted onto the multi-stage planetary gearbox. Only one of the motor shafts is attached to the gearbox and fixed to the lower link to move it with a flange collar. The other side is simply floating in bearings.



Figure 18: Spider Top View - Knee Joint

The hip joint, shown in Figure 19, uses similar principles to the knee joint. However, there are two motors positioned perpendicularly by U-shaped brackets, which allows for two degrees of freedom at the hip. Cord grips are used to feed the cables from the knee joints to the chassis for a waterproof design. The hip joint is mounted onto the chassis using longer bolts and a mounting plate positioned inside the chassis. A gasket is also used to seal the joint from the environment. Also, corner-mount draw latches are mounted at each edge of



the octagon upper casing for a quick connection with the lower casing.

Figure 19: Spider Front View - Hip Joint

Hip horizontal displacement

gearmotor

Chassis

Lower casing

2.4Solar Panel Concepts

gearmotor

Femur

I-beam

Three solar panel concepts were created to explore various configurations. The concepts were not created based on any specific robot/locomotion concept as seen previously. Instead, they were made with the intention that they could be accommodated on those designs easily or with minor changes. The major goal was to explore options to maximize solar panel surface area, and consequently maximize power.

2.4.1Concept 1 - Solar Roof

The solar roof, as shown in Figure 20 consists in a light hollow tube structure with a curved sheet on top, on which a flexible solar panel is fastened using its grommets and some bolts. The curved surface that droops over the sides of the robot allows for maximum surface area. The solar panel is also elevated away from the robot, making it less likely to interfere with the waste collection system and legs. The structure consists of four side support beams (2 per side) bolted on the curved sheet and the side of the chassis. It also has two crossed beam structures bolted on top of the chassis, with the curved sheet simply resting on top.



Figure 20: Solar roof concept

2.4.2 Concept 2 - Solar Awning

The solar awning, as shown in Figure 21, allows easy compatibility with any garbage picker concept requiring access to the roof of the robot. The concept uses typical metal stud tracks and wall angle channels as structural support for the solar panels. The metal studs are mounted using regular fasteners such as bolts on the side of the robot's chassis or casing/shell. The flexible solar panel is secured onto the studs using grommets and bolts.



Figure 21: Solar awning concept

2.4.3 Concept 3 - Solar Cells

The third option is installing the solar cells onto the robot chassis and legs themselves with adhesive, as shown in Figure 22 [4] [5]. The useful area is whatever surfaces are exposed on the robot. A possible downside of this design is that depending on the form-factor of the litter collecting unit, the amount of space available for solar cells on the chassis may be limited. If the collector is in the form of a cube, then there is useful area on the top. Other shapes may provide less space for mounting solar cells. Additionally, increasing the width of leg linkages to accept solar cells will also increase the weight of the linkage, increasing the overall weight and power consumption.



Figure 22: Custom solar cell positioning concept

3 Cost Assessment

3.1 Concept Summary

Table 1 summarizes the two primary subsystems identified for the WaterFront Robot; the robot leg topology and chassis, and solar panel mounting. The selected solution from each subsystem is framed by a rectangular form. Concept 2, the crab, was selected for the robot chassis and leg topology, and the Concept 3, the direct solar cell mounting, was selected for the solar panel mounting.



Table 1: Summary of concepts, broken down by subfunction

3.2 Cost Assessment

A detailed cost assessment was conducted on all concepts and is shown in Appendix C. The summary of overall prices for each concept is shown in Table 2 for robot concepts and Table 3 for solar concepts. The robot concept 2 is the most expensive. This may be due to the fact that more pricey components were used, such as carbon fiber legs and harmonic drives. It would be determined with further analysis whether these pricier options are necessary,

or if cheaper elements can be used, for example planetary gears and metal or plastic legs. Although the cost assessment result is higher for concept 2 compared to concept 3, it was determined that overall the concept 2 would be less expensive if similar graded components and parts as concept 3 were used. For the solar concepts, concept 3 is cheaper as it does not require additional material for a frame. Solar concept 1 is more expensive as it has a more complex frame as well as more solar panel surface area, and thus costs more in solar panels (albeit with higher power collection).

Concept Number	Concept Name	Cost $(\$)$
1	Linkage	$17,\!035.56$
2	Crab	27,804.74
3	Spider	24,785.87

 Table 2: Robot Concepts Cost Summary

 Table 3: Solar Concepts Cost Summary

Concept Number	Concept Name	Cost $(\$)$
1	Roof	1,645.22
2	Awning	589.51
3	Solar Cells	207.20

4 Discussion

The selected robot solution is concept 2, with the crab-like morphology. Various criteria were used to analyse all concepts and a decision analysis was performed, as detailed in Appendix B. The results for all concepts were close, however it is seen by observing the scoring that concept 2 is the better rounded solution. The feasibility and design complexity criteria is a driving factor in the decision, as the solution for concept 2 is believed to have a better balanced and simplistic approach. For example, although concept 1 seems relatively simple, it is likely to have a large force concentration at the guiding pin. It also has a smaller range of motion and stability. Concept 3 is inherently more complex due to the added motor for the third degree of freedom. It also has a motor on the exterior of the chassis, adding weight (inertia) to the leg and electronics on the outside.

A cost assessment was also performed, as summarized in Table 2, and is presented in detail in Appendix C. Concept 1 costed around \$16 000, Concept 2 costed around \$27 000 and Concept 3 costed around \$24 000, making Concept 2 the most expensive. For this design, however, cost has very little effect on the decision as the market is not competitive. Additionally, much of the cost putting it above Concepts 1 and 3 came from the use of carbon-fibre for the linkages (which could be substituted with the cheaper materials used in the other concepts) and Harmonic Drive gearboxes (whose zero-backdrive property may prove beneficial to improving battery life while individual legs are not active, as current will not be required to hold the motor in place). In the latter case, they could be replaced with the much less expensive planetary gearboxes used in Concept 3 if a power consumption analysis shows them to not be worth the additional price.

The chassis and sealing design for the selected concept 2 may be slightly modified and inspired by the chassis designed for the other concepts. It is dependent on further analysis and design of the legs as well as the final location of various components.

The solar panel concepts have also been analysed using a decision analysis table (Appendix B) and a cost assessment (Appendix C). The concept with the highest score is Concept 3, where custom solar panels or solar cells are positioned wherever possible on the top surface of the robot. This solution is retained as the best option for now, but may be changed depending on compatibility with the waste collection system solution and further analysis of power requirements and chassis surface area.

5 References

- N. Kau, A. Schultz, N. Ferrante, and P. Slade, "Stanford Doggo: An Open-Source, Quasi-Direct-Drive Quadruped," in 2019 International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA). Montreal, QC, Canada: IEEE, May 2019, pp. 6309–6315.
 [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8794436/
- [2] Harmonic Drive, "CSD-2a Component Set | Harmonic Drive." [Online]. Available: https://www.harmonicdrive.net/products/component-sets/cup-type/csd-2a
- [3] robot platform, "Robot Platform | Knowledge | Wheeled Robots." [Online]. Available: http://www.robotplatform.com/knowledge/Classification_of_Robots/ legged_robots.html
- [4] Gioco Solutions, "installation of flexible solar panel, fixing, accessories," Jan. 2018.
 [Online]. Available: http://www.giocosolutions.net/install-a-flexible-solar-panel/
- [5] 3M, "3m VHBTM Tapes | 3m." [Online]. Available: https://www.3m.com/3M/en_US/ vhb-tapes-us/
- [6] 3D Hubs, "3d Hubs." [Online]. Available: https://www.3dhubs.com/
- [7] 18650 battery store, "18650 battery store." [Online]. Available: https://www. 18650batterystore.com/
- [8] Acklands-Grainger, "Acklands-Grainger." [Online]. Available: https://www. acklandsgrainger.com/en
- [9] Alibaba, "Alibaba." [Online]. Available: https://www.alibaba.com
- [10] Amazon, "Amazon." [Online]. Available: https://www.amazon.ca/
- [11] Canadian Tire, "Canadian Tire." [Online]. Available: https://www.canadiantire.ca/en. html
- [12] DigiKey, "DigiKey." [Online]. Available: https://www.digikey.com/
- [13] Electric Motor Wholesale, "Electric Motor Wholesale." [Online]. Available: http: //www.electricmotorwholesale.com/
- [14] Electromate, "Electromate." [Online]. Available: https://www.electromate.com/

- [15] ePlastics, "ePlastics." [Online]. Available: https://www.eplastics.com/
- [16] Speed-Talk, "Finding a custom crank maker Don Terrill's Speed-Talk." [Online]. Available: https://www.speed-talk.com/forum/viewtopic.php?t=40581;
- [17] Global Industrial Canada, "Global Industrial Canada." [Online]. Available: https: //www.globalindustrial.ca/
- [18] H. Depot, "Home Depot." [Online]. Available: https://www.homedepot.com/
- [19] Hot Rod Network, "Hot Rod Network." [Online]. Available: https://www.hotrod.com/
- [20] Indeed, "Indeed." [Online]. Available: https://ca.indeed.com/
- [21] Lowe's Canada, "Lowe's Canada." [Online]. Available: https://www.lowes.ca/
- [22] Maxon Motor, "Maxon." [Online]. Available: https://www.maxongroup.com/maxon/ view/content/index
- [23] McMaster-Carr, "McMaster-Carr." [Online]. Available: https://www.mcmaster.com/
- [24] METAL SUPERMARKETS, "METAL SUPERMARKETS." [Online]. Available: https://ecommerce.metalsupermarkets.com/MSC-Home.aspx
- [25] Metals Depot, "Metals Depot." [Online]. Available: https://www.metalsdepot.com/
- [26] PayScale, "PayScale." [Online]. Available: https://www.payscale.com
- [27] REDARC Electronics, "REDARC." [Online]. Available: https://www.redarc.com.au/
- [28] Robots Shop, "RobotShop." [Online]. Available: https://www.robotshop.com/
- [29] Rock West Composites, "Rock West Composites." [Online]. Available: https: //www.rockwestcomposites.com/
- [30] Summit Racing, "Summit Racing." [Online]. Available: https://www.summitracing. com/
- [31] TAP Plastics, "TAP Plastics." [Online]. Available: https://www.tapplastics.com/
- [32] The Big Bearing Store, "The Big Bearing Store." [Online]. Available: https: //www.thebigbearingstore.com/

- [33] Webo Solar, "Webo Solar." [Online]. Available: https://webosolar.com/store/en/
- [34] Gadget Makers' Blog, "Arduino Power Consumption," Sep. 2013. [Online]. Available: https://www.gadgetmakersblog.com/arduino-power-consumption/
- [35] RasPi.TV, "How much the Pi4b use? Power power does Measurements," Jun. 2019. [Online]. Available: https://raspi.tv/2019/ how-much-power-does-the-pi4b-use-power-measurements
- [36] NVIDIA, "JETSON TX2 AND TX2i DATASHEET," 2018. [Online]. Available: http: //static6.arrow.com/aropdfconversion/766a44ea9100e05d6acca62618622b4380fe242b/ jetson_tx2_tx2i_module_datasheet_v01.pdf
- [37] maxon motor, "EC60 flat 60mm, brushless, 100 W, with Hall sensors." [Online]. Available: https://www.maxongroup.com/maxon/view/catalog?etcc_med=ID+Teaser& etcc_cmp=ID-Teaser-Rebrush-Homepage&etcc_cu=onsite&etcc_var=%5bcom%5d% 23en%23_d_&etcc_plc=home
- [38] ANYbotics, "ANYmal C Autonomous Legged Robot." [Online]. Available: https://www.anybotics.com/anymal-legged-robot/
- [39] Boston Dynamics, "Spot[®] | Boston Dynamics," Sep. 2019. [Online]. Available: https://www.bostondynamics.com/spot
- [40] F. Lambert, "Tear down of 85 kWh Tesla battery pack shows it could actually only be a 81 kWh pack [Updated]," Feb. 2016. [Online]. Available: https://electrek.co/2016/02/03/tesla-battery-tear-down-85-kwh/
- [41] Panasonic Industrial Devices, "Specifications for NCR18650bd." [Online]. Available: https://b2b-api.panasonic.eu/file_stream/pids/fileversion/3445

A Additional Material

No detailed additional literature review material was required for the creation of the concepts.

B Decision Analysis Tables

Decision analysis' are shown in the following tables. Concepts are scored (S) from 1 (worst) to 3 (best). This is then multiplied by the weight to get the weighed score (WS) and a total sum is calculated for each solution. The concept with the highest total is the winner.

Table 4 shows the decision analysis for the robot locomotion concepts. The highest weight of 30/100 is attributed to power consumption, as the solution must be solar powered, making power a limiting factor. The scores are attributed based on the number of electric motors on each solution.

The next criteria, weighed at 20/100, is mobility and terrain operation. This includes ability to turn, navigate on slopes and walk in various difficult terrains such as sand, pebbles, shallow water, mud and small plants.

The next criteria weighs 20/100 as well and consists of feasibility and design complexity. This relates to the the simplicity of the design as well as the complexities that may arise in further analysis of the design (force concentrations on parts, number of components to consider, etc).

Furthermore, maintainability and longevity is added with a weight of 15/100. This is included due to the fact that the robot should be self-reliant. It takes into account frequency and ease of maintenance.

The last two criteria are: aesthetics (10/100) as the robot operates in public areas, and cost (5/100) which is a minor consideration due to the fact that this solution has very little existing competition. It is still considered in order to ensure the designs' feasibility.

Criteria	Weight	Conc	ept 1	Conc	ept 2	Conc	ept 3	
		S	WS	S	WS	S	WS	
Power Consump-	30	3	90	2	60	1	30	
tion								
Mobility and Ter-	20	1	20	2	40	3	60	
rain Operation								
Feasibility and De-	20	1	20	3	60	2	40	
sign Complexity								
Maintainability	15	3	45	1	15	2	30	
and Longevity								
Aesthetics	10	1	10	2	20	3	30	
Cost	5	3	15	2	10	1	5	
Total	100		200		205		195	

Table 4: Decision analysis table for robot and leg concepts

Table 5 shows the decision analysis for the solar panel solutions.

The most critical criteria, weighed at 35/100 is to maximise the sun exposure surface. This includes maximising the surface area of the solar panels as well as orienting them in a favourable manner towards the sun. It determines the amount of power output from the solar panels.

The second highest weighed criteria, with 30/100, is compatibility and integration. This includes whether the solar panels could impede with the movement of the robots' legs or other moving parts. It also takes into account whether it could potentially interfere with the integration of the waste collecting system designed by group 2B. It was discussed that a garbage container might be positioned on top of the robot, with an arm moving to deposit waste in the container.

Stability, weighed at 20/100, takes into account the effects of the solar panels on the center of mass of he robot and whether they could get caught in the wind. Lastly, cost is included as a measure of complexity of the solutions, and weighs 15/100.

Criteria	Weight	Concept 1		Conc	ept 2	Concept 3	
		S	WS	S	WS	S	WS
Maximise Sun Ex-	35	3	105	1	35	2	70
posed Surface Area							
Cost	15	1	15	2	30	3	45
Compatibility and	30	2	60	1	30	3	90
Integration							
Stability	20	1	20	2	40	3	60
Total	100		200		135		265

Table 5: Decision analysis table for solar panel concepts

C Detailed Cost Assessment

The references to all sources used in the cost assessment tables can be found in Table 12. The quote for Harmonic Drives provided by Electromate was given in CAD; this number was converted to USD for a fair comparison with other expensive components such as motors and gearboxes.

Numbering	Item Description	Cost	Quantity	Total Cost	Source	Part No.
1. Parts						
1.01	Compact Square DC Gear Motor	\$ 62.74	6	\$ 376.44	McMaster Carr	6409K11
1.02	Dry-Running Mounted Sleeve Bearing	\$ 15.15	6	\$ 90.90	McMaster Carr	2820T4
1.03	Threaded Guide Pin	\$ 16.94	6	\$ 101.64	Amazon	B00KWGE8MS
1.04	Roller Bearing	\$ 9.59	6	\$ 57.54	Acklands-Grainger	WWG35TY14
1.05	Spacer (6")	\$ 33.33	1	\$ 33.33	McMaster Carr	1989T11
1.06	5 1/2" Philips metal screw pack Qty 100	\$ 5.96	1	\$ 5.96	Amazon	B0768MR94M
1.07	Galvanized Bolts Qty:100	\$ 9.14	1	\$ 9.14	McMaster Carr	95373A142
1.08	Galvanized Nut Qty:100	\$ 5.40	1	\$ 5.40	McMaster Carr	90371A029
1.09	Fastener Seals (O'Rings)	\$ 6.56	1	\$ 6.56	McMaster Carr	955K437
1.10	Hot Rolled Steel Sheet (3x4)	\$ 111.28	1	\$ 111.28	MetalsDepot	S110
1.11	Set Screw Shaft Coupling	\$ 6.99	6	\$ 41.94	RobotShop	RB-Sct-369
1.12	Mounting Flange (Bellow)	\$ 12.87	6	\$ 77.22	McMaster Carr	9742K41
1.13	Square Bellow	\$ 81.28	6	\$ 487.68	McMaster Carr	9742K31
1.14	L-Bracket	\$ 28.00	6	\$ 168.00	Electric Motor Whole Sale	M1760003
1.15	Connecting rod bushing	\$ 10	6	\$ 60.00	Summit Tracing	CLE-CB663HN
1.16	i Rubber Foot Ends	\$ 1.85	6	\$ 11.10	Global Industrial	T9FB831467
1.17	Steel Square (5/8"x5/8"x4')	\$ 10.76	1	\$ 10.76	MetalsDepot	SQ158
	Gasket Material Sheet					
1.18	(Cut to required shape ourselves)	\$ 26.60	1	\$ 26.60	McMaster Carr	9455K94
1.19	MILE Encoder	\$ 132.63	6	\$ 795.78	Maxon Motor	651156
1.2	Maxon Motor Motor Controller	\$ 165.63	6	\$ 993.78	Maxon Motor	438725
1.21	ESCON Module Motherboard	\$ 82.00	6	\$ 492.00	Maxon Motor	438779
1.22	2 18650 cells	\$ 3.75	100	\$ 375.00	18650 battery store	NCR18650B
1.23	White twinwall polycarbonate sheet	\$ 109.48	5	\$ 547.40	ePlastics	MWALL2WHT10MMX48X96
1.24	Regulator	\$ 120.85	1	\$ 120.85	REDARC	SRP0240
1.25	Cables, Wires, and Connectors	\$ 100.00	1	\$ 100.00	RobotShop	
2. Labour						
2.01	Custom Crankshaft	\$ 1,000.00	6	\$ 6,000.00	Speed-Talk	N/A
2.02	Custom Connecting Rod	\$ 500.00	6	\$ 3,000.00	Hotrod	N/A
2.03	Plastic Welding (\$/hr)	\$ 45.00	2	\$ 90.00	Indeed	N/A
3. Assembly						
	20% of full cost			\$ 2,839.26		
Total				\$ 17,035.56		

Table 6: Cost Analysis for Concept 1 - Linkage

Numbering	Item Description	Cost		Quantity	То	tal Cost	Source	Part No.
1. Parts								
1.01	Harmonic Drive Component Set	\$ 1,35	0.00	10	\$	10,169.87	Electromate	
1.02	Maxon Motor EC 60, 100 W Motor	\$ 10	3.63	10	\$	1,036.30	Maxon Motor	645604
1.03	MILE Encoder	\$ 13	2.63	10	\$	1.326.30	Maxon Motor	651156
1.04	Maxon Motor Motor Controller	\$ 16	5.63	10	\$	1.656.30	Maxon Motor	438725
105	ESCON Module Motherboard	\$ 8	2.00	10	\$	820.00	Maxon Motor	438779
1.00	18650 cells	\$	3.75	300	\$	1 125 00	18650 batteru store	NCB18650B
	Silicope Bubber for Feet (3D printed	•	0.10	000	•	1,120.00	10000 battery store	11011100000
1.07	mold_make ourselves)	\$ 4	0.00	1	\$	40.00		
108	Square Elange Bellow	\$ 9	109	10	\$	910,90	McMaster Carr	9742K32
109	Mounting Flange (Bellow)	\$ 1	5.42	10	\$	154 20	McMaster Carr	9742K42
1 10	1/2" Philips metal screw pack Oty 100	\$	5.96	1	\$	5.96	Amezon	B0768MB94
1 11	Caluacized Balta Otur 100	*	9.14	1	*	9.00	MeMaster Carr	953730142
1.12	Calvarized Doks Gty, 100	*	5.14	1	*	5.14	McMaster Can MaMastar Can	902718029
1.12	Galvanized Nut Qty: 100	۰ ۲	0.40		*	5.40	Micimiaster Carr	30371A023
1. 13	nastener Deals (U Rings)	\$	0.50	1	\$	0.50	MoMaster Carr	35578437
1.14	Gasket Material Sheet (Cut to required shape ourselves)	\$2	6.60	1	\$	26.60	McMaster Carr	9455K94
1.15	Lower Shin Square Rod	\$ 24	1.99	1	\$	241.99	RockWest Composites	25497
1.16	Upper Thigh Square Tube (Carbon Fiber)	\$ 1,17	9.99	1	\$	1,179.99	RockWest Composites	25516
1.17	Leg Side Plate Material (Aluminum 6061,	\$ 89	4.40	1	\$	894.40	Metals Depot	DODOTE
1 10	Sio (nick, 4xo reet sneet)	a 1	2.64	15	*	CE4 CO	M-M C	F33010
1.10	niange Mounted Dearings	۵ 4 ۸ 4	3.04		*	004.00	McMaster Carr	5356K (1
1.13	Drive Pulley	\$ 4	1.20	C 10	\$	236.40	MiciMaster Carr	6435K412
1.20	Hub for Pulleys (1/2 Shaft)	\$	4.UZ	IU	*	140.20	MolMaster Carr	6086k111
1.21	Shafts (1/2" diameter)	\$ 3	30.16	1	\$	66.71	McMaster Carr	5936k75
1.22	Driven Pulley	\$ 5	51.39	5	\$	256.95	McMaster Carr	6495K415
1.23	Belt (36.7" circle, 1" wide, 0.375" pitch, trapezoidal)	\$3	7.87	5	\$	189.35	McMaster Carr	1679k289
1.24	Spacers (3/4" long LDPE)	\$	9.09	1	\$	9.09	McMaster Carr	92825A243
1.25	Pillow Bearing Block	\$ 1	0.20	5	\$	51.00	TheBigBearingStore	SBSP201-08
1.26	Shaft Coupler	\$;	31.19	5	\$	155.95	McMaster Carr	61005k331
1.27	Motor Face Mount L-Bracket	\$ 1	0.99	2	\$	21.98	Amazon	
1.28	U-Bracket 1	\$	6.07	5	\$	30.35	McMaster Carr	18725a62
1.29	U-Bracket 2	\$	4.00	5	\$	20.00	McMAster Carr	Based on 18725-62
	Material for Chassis (Acrulic 3/8" thick							
120	48v35" chapte)	\$ 17	5.00	2	\$	350.00	Tap Plastics	
1.30	Pequiptor	* 11 \$ 12	0.00		*	120.85	DEDADC	SPD0240
100	Celles Vise and Consistent	v i∠ at 10	0.00	1	*	120.00		JHF0240
1.32	Cables, wires, and Connectors	♦ 10	0.00	1	÷	100.00	Robotanop	
	Machining Log Links and Plates (or wing							
2.01	inte segments, chapter top of chin	20% of the	eir		¢	462.20		
2.01	into segments, shaping top or shin	total price			÷	403.20		
	piece, making holes, etc)	2014 (1					
2.02	Custom Chassis Vacuum Forming and	JU% or ma	terial		\$	70.00		
	Machining	price						
		5 hours pe	er j					
2.03	Custom Shaft/Face Plate	shaft, 5 sha	afts		\$	625.00		
2.00		perrobot,			•	020.00		
Arramble		◆20mour						
- Assembly	20% of full cost				\$	4 634 12		
r_+_I					*	97,004,12		
IOTAL					Ŧ	21,804.14		

Table 7: Cost Analysis for Concept 2 - Crab

Numbering	Item Description	C	ost	Quantity	То	otal Cost	Source	Part No
1. Parts								
1.01	Maxon Motor EC-I 40, 100 W Motor	\$	286.25	18	\$	5,152.50	Maxon Motor	496660
1.02	Maxon Planetary Gearhead GP 42	\$	293.75	18	\$	5,287.50	Maxon Motor	203126
1.03	Maxon Motor Motor Controller	\$	165.63	18	\$	2,981.34	Maxon Motor	438725
1.04	ESCON Module Motherboard	\$	82.00	18	\$	1,476.00	Maxon Motor	438779
1.05	18650 cells	\$	3.75	300	\$	1,125.00	18650 battery store	NCR186508
1.06	Round Bellow	\$	0.10	1000	\$	100.00	Alibaba	
1.07	Round Bellow Mounting Flange	\$	16.42	24	\$	394.08	McMaster Carr	2425N32
1.08	Flange shaft collar	\$	80.97	18	\$	1,457.46	McMaster Carr	9624T18
1.09	L-bracket	\$	1.32	50	\$	66.00	McMaster Carr	17715A43
1.1	Shaft spacer 12mm	\$	3.45	20	\$	69.00	McMaster Carr	94669A372
1.11	Shaft spacer 6mm	\$	0.61	40	\$	24.40	McMaster Carr	94669A158
1.12	626 ball bearings	\$	11.81	36	\$	425.16	McMaster Carr	6153K24
1.13	Plastic submersible cord grip	\$	9.20	20	\$	184.00	McMaster Carr	7310K35
1.14	Structural FRP Fiberglass I-Beam (10ft)	\$	76.67	5	\$	383.35	McMaster Carr	9468T52
1.15	Aluminium 6061T6 sheet 0.125" thick (4'x8')	\$	330.32	1	\$	330.32	Ecommerce	
1.16	ABS Injection molded octagon lower casing	\$	428.02	1	\$	428.02	3D Hubs	
1.17	Corner-Mount Tight-Hold Draw Latch	\$	22.50	8	\$	180.00	McMaster Carr	6148A16
1.18	ABS 0.187" thick 2'x4' plate	s	70.41	3	s	211.23	ePlastic	
1.19	18-8 SS hex head screw (1/4"-20 UNC x 1-1/4")	\$	0.14	50	\$	7.19	McMaster Carr	92240A544
1.2	Weather-resistant rubber sealing washer	\$	0.11	100	\$	10.81	McMaster Carr	90130A029
1.21	18-8 SS hex head nut (1/4"-20 UNC)	S	0.05	50	s	2.27	McMaster Carr	92673A113
1.22	Silicone rubber ball	s	14.78	6	s	88.68	McMaster Carr	8945K61
1.23	Regulator	\$	120.85	1	\$	120.85	REDARC	SRP0240
1.24	Cables, Wires, and Connectors	\$	100.00	1	\$	100.00	RobotShop	
2. Labour		-						
2.01	Machining (cutting and drilling) I-beams, ABS molded casing, ABS plate, aluminium sheet	th	20% of eir total		\$	270.58		
3. Assembly		-	price					
of Abouting	20% of full cost				\$	4.130.98		+
Total					¢	24 785 87		
10141		-			Ş	27,103.01		

Table 8: Cost Analysis for Concept 3 - Spider

Table 9: Cost Analysis for Solar Concept 1 - Roof

	C +	O	T	10	6	Doub Mar
Description	Cost	Quantity	lota	al Cost	Source	Part No.
nized Bolts Qty:100	\$ 9.14	1	\$	9.14	McMaster Carr	95373A142
nized Nut Qty:100	\$ 5.40	1	\$	5.40	McMaster Carr	90371A029
e Galvanized Steel HSS (1-1/2" wide, 24 feet long)	\$ 150.00	1	\$	150.00	Metals Depot	T111211G
nized Steel Plate 0.124" thick, 2x8 feet	\$ 175.20	1	\$	175.20	Metals Depot	S211
le Solar Panels	\$ 228.00	3	\$	684.00	WeboSolar	SPR-E-Flex-110
ner Seals (O'Rings)	\$ 6.56	1	\$	6.56	McMaster Carr	9557K437
c Sheet (1/8" thick 48x34" sheet)	\$ 57.80	2	\$	115.60	Tap Plastics	Extruded Acrylic Sheets
m Forming to get Curved Acrylic Sheet	30% of cost		\$	34.68		
ng the Structure	23.02\$ per hour	4 hours	\$	92.08	PayScale	Average Welder Hourly Pay
ning (Cutting and Drilling)	24.59\$ per hour	4 hours	\$	98.36	PayScale	Average Machinist Hourly Pay
f full cost			\$	274.20		
			\$	1,645.22		
ni ni ni ni ni ni ni ni ni ni ni ni ni n	zed Bolts Qty:100 ized Nut Qty:100 Galvanized Steel HSS (1-1/2" wide, 24 feet long) ized Steel Plate 0.124" thick, 2x8 feet e Solar Panels ar Seals (O'Rings) Sheet (1/8" thick 48x34" sheet) n Forming to get Curved Acrylic Sheet ig the Structure ing (Cutting and Drilling) full cost	zed Bolts Qty:100 \$ 9.14 ized Nut Qty:100 \$ 5.40 Galvanized Steel HSS (1-1/2" wide, 24 feet long) \$ 150.00 ized Steel Plate 0.124" thick, 2x8 feet \$ 175.20 e Solar Panels \$ 228.00 ar Seals (O'Rings) \$ 6.56 Sheet (1/8" thick 48x34" sheet) \$ 57.80 or Torming to get Curved Acrylic Sheet 30% of cost ing Cutting and Drilling) 24.59\$ per hour full cost	zed Bolts Qty:100 \$ 9.14 1 ized Nut Qty:100 \$ 5.40 1 Galvanized Steel HSS (1-1/2" wide, 24 feet long) \$ 150.00 1 ized Steel Plate 0.124" thick, 2x8 feet \$ 175.20 1 e Solar Panels \$ 228.00 3 ar Seals (O'Rings) \$ 6.56 1 Sheet (1/8" thick 48x34" sheet) \$ 57.80 2 m Forming to get Curved Acrylic Sheet 30% of cost ing (Cutting and Drilling) 24.59\$ per hour 4 hours full cost	zed Bolts Qty:100 \$ 9.14 1 \$ ized Nut Qty:100 \$ 5.40 1 \$ Galvanized Steel HSS (1-1/2" wide, 24 feet long) \$ 150.00 1 \$ ized Nut Qty:100 \$ 150.00 1 \$ isolar Panels \$ 175.20 1 \$ ized Steel Plate 0.124" thick, 2x8 feet \$ 175.20 1 \$ \$ s asolar Panels \$ 28.00 3 \$ \$ asolar Panels \$ 28.00 3 \$ \$ \$ asolar Panels \$ 28.00 3 \$ <	zed Bolts Qty:100 \$ 9.14 1 \$ 9.14 ized Nut Qty:100 \$ 9.14 1 \$ 9.14 Galvanized Steel HSS (1-1/2" wide, 24 feet long) \$ 5.40 1 \$ 5.40 Galvanized Steel HSS (1-1/2" wide, 24 feet long) \$ 150.00 1 \$ 150.00 ged Steel Hate 0.124" thick, 2x8 feet \$ 175.20 1 \$ 175.20 e Solar Panels \$ 228.00 3 \$ 684.00 ar Seals (O'Rings) \$ 6.56 1 \$ 6.56 Sheet (1/8" thick 48x34" sheet) \$ \$ 57.80 2 \$ n Forming to get Curved Acrylic Sheet 30% of cost \$ \$ 34.68 ig the Structure 23.025 per hour 4 hours \$ 98.36 full cost \$ 274.20 \$ 1,645.22	zed Bolts Qty:100 \$ 9.14 1 \$ 9.14 McMaster Carr Ized Nut Qty:100 \$ 5.40 1 \$ 9.14 McMaster Carr Galvanized Steel HSS (1-1/2" wide, 24 feet long) \$ 150.00 1 \$ 150.00 McMaster Carr Galvanized Steel HSS (1-1/2" wide, 24 feet long) \$ 175.20 1 \$ 175.20 Metals Depot ized Steel Plate 0.124" thick, 2x8 feet \$ 175.20 1 \$ 175.20 Metals Depot ized Steel Plate 0.124" thick, 2x8 feet \$ 175.20 1 \$ 175.20 Metals Depot ized Steel Plate 0.124" thick, 2x8 feet \$ 175.20 Metals Depot Metals Depot ized Steel Plate 0.124" thick 48x34" sheet) \$ \$ 5.65 1 \$ 6.56 McMaster Carr Sheet (1/8" thick 48x34" sheet) \$ \$ 5.7.80 2 \$ 115.60 Tap Plastics or

		Ĭ		1	- 1		
Numbering	Item Description		Quantity	Т	otal Cost	Source	Part No.
1. Parts							
1.01	Galvanized Bolts Qty:100	\$ 9.14	1	\$	9.14	McMaster Carr	95373A142
1.02	Galvanized Nut Qty:100	\$ 5.40	1	\$	5.40	McMaster Carr	90371A029
1.03	Wall Angle Metal Studs (1"x2"x10')	\$ 6.96	1	\$	6.96	Home Depot	1000181114
1.04	Galvanized Metal Tracks (2.5"x10')	\$ 7.20	1	\$	7.20	Lowe's Canada	24831
1.05	Flexible Solar Panels	\$228.00	2	\$	456.00	WeboSolar	SPR-E-Flex-110
1.06	Fastener Seals (O'Rings)	\$ 6.56	1	\$	6.56	McMaster Carr	9557K437
2. Assembly							
	20% of full cost			\$	98.25		
Total				\$	589.51		

Table 10: Cost Analysis for Solar Concept 2 - Awning

Table 11: Cost Analysis for Solar Concept 3 - Solar Cells

Numbering	Item Description	Cost	Quantity	Total Cost	Source	Part No.	
1. Parts	-						
1.01	Solar Cells (Qty: 50)	\$151.70	1	\$ 151.70	Digi-Key	1996-1025-ND	
1.02	3M Exterior Mounting Tape	\$ 6.99	3	\$ 20.97	Canadian Tire	067-6065-2	
2. Assembly							
	20% of full cost			\$ 34.53			
Total				\$ 207.20			

Table 12: Part Source References

3D Hubs	[6]
18650 battery store	[7]
Acklands-Grainger	[8]
Alibaba	[9]
Amazon	[10]
Canadian Tire	[11]
DigiKey	[12]
Electric Motor Wholesale	[13]
Electromate	[14]
ePlastics	[15]
Speed-Talk	[16]
Global Industrial Canada	[17]
Home Depot	[18]

Hot Rod Network	[19]
Indeed	[20]
Lowe's Canada	[21]
Maxon Motor	[22]
McMaster-Carr	[23]
Metal Supermarkets	[24]
Metals Depot	[25]
PayScale	[26]
REDARC	[27]
RobotShop	[28]
Rock West Composites	[29]
Summit Racing	[30]
TAP Plastics	[31]
The Big Bearing Store	[32]
Webo Solar	[33]

C.1 Battery Selection

Batteries were selected in the following manner:

- 1. Power consumption per motor was set at 50W; not all motors will be running at the same time, nor at full capacity, so 50% of total capacity was selected.
- 2. Power consumption for computers is set conservatively to the full power of NVIDIA Jetson TX2s; these consume more power than Raspberry Pis and Arduinos, giving a conservative approximation [34] [35] [36].
- 3. The battery voltage matches the highest voltage element in the robot. This is likely the motors, which in the given configuration need 24 V, compared to up to 15 V for the NVIDIA Jetson TX2 [36] [37].
- A runtime of two hours was selected. This is in line with the two to four hours advertised by ANYbotics' ANYmal and hour-and-a-half advertised by Boston Dynamics' Spot [38] [39].

- 5. The Panasonic NCR18650B variant of 18650 cell were selected (same model as used in Tesla batteries), with 3.6 V and 3400mAh (12.58 Wh) [7] [40].
- 6. The number of cells required is equal to the number of cells required to achieve the desired voltage, times the number of cells required to achieve the two hour runtime. In the case of the crab model, it requires seven cells in series and 42 is parallel, or approximately 300 cells in total.

Table 13: Approximate Power consumption of CrabModel (see Figure 9) (does not include losses)

Device Name	Power Consumption (W)	No. of device	Total power consumption (W)
Computer	7.5	2	15
DC Motor	50	10	500
Total			530

D Data Sheets

Table 14 contains references for all data sheets beyond this point.

Item	Source	Part Number (if applicable)
Harmonic Drive CSD	[2]	N/A
Maxon Motor GP42C	[22]	203126
Maxon Motor EC 60	[37]	645604
Maxon Motor ECi 40	[22]	496660
Panasonic 18650 Cell	[41]	NCR18650B
RockWest Composites Carbon Fibre	[29]	25516
McMaster-Carr DC Gearmotor	[23]	6409K11

Table 14: Part Source References

Ordering Code

CSD - 20 - 100 - 2A - GR - SP

V	¥ ¥			V		V	Table 063-		
Series	Size		Ratio*			Model	Special specification		
	14	50	80	100	_		Displa Chandland are duet		
	17	50	80	100	120		Blank=Standard product		
	20	50	80	100	120	2A-GR = component type	code		
CSD	25	50	80	100	120	(2A-R for Size 14, 17)	BB= Big Bore		
	32	50	80	100	120		-		
	40	50	80	100	120				
	50	50	80	100	120				

* The reduction ratio value is based on the following configuration: Input: wave generator, fixed: circular spline, output: flexspline

Technical Data

CSD-2A Component Set

Size	Gear	Rated torque at put speed 2000		Limit for repeated peak torque		Limit for average torque		Limit for momentary peak torque		Maximum input speed (rpm)		Limit for	average eed (rpm)	Moment of inertia	
	Tatio	Nm	kgfm	Nm	kgfm	Nm	kgfm	Nm	kgfm	Oil	Grease	Oil	Grease	l x 10 ⁻⁴ kgm ²	J x 10 ⁻⁵ kgfms ²
	50	3.7	0.38	12	1.2	4.8	0.49	24	2.4						
14	80	5.4	0.55	16	1.6	7.7	0.79	31	3.2	14000	8500	6500	3500	0.021	0.021
	100	5.4	0.55	19	1.9	7.7	0.79	31	3.2						
	50	11	1.1	23	2.3	18	1.8	48	4.9						
17	80	15	1.5	29	3.0	19	1.9	55	5.6	10000	0000 7300	6500	2500	0.054	0.055
''	100	16	1.6	37	3.8	27	2.8	55	5.6	10000			3300		0.055
	120	16	1.6	37	3.8	27	2.8	55	5.6						
	50	17	1.7	39	4.0	24	2.4	69	7.0				3500	0.090	
00	80	24	2.4	51	5.2	33	3.4	76 (65)	7.7 (6.6)	10000	6500 6500	6500			0.092
	100	28	2.9	57	5.8	34	3.5	76 (65)	7.7 (6.6)	10000	0500	0000			
	120	28	2.9	60	6.1	34	3.5	76 (65)	7.7 (6.6)						
5	50	27	2.8	69	7.0	38	3.9	127	13						0.288
25	80	44	4.5	96	9.8	60	6.1	152 (135)	15 (14)	7500	5600	5600	2500	0.000	
25	100	47	4.8	110	11	75	7.6	152 (135)	15 (14)		3000	5000	3300	0.202	
	120	47	4.8	117	12	75	7.6	152 (135)	15 (14)						
	50	53	5.4	151	15	75	7.6	268	27				0500 4.0		
20	80	83	8.5	213	22	117	12	359 (331)	37 (34)	7000	4900	4600		1.00	
32	100	96	9.8	233	24	151	15	359 (331)	37 (34)	7000	4000	4000	3500	1.09	1.11
	120	96	9.8	247	25	151	15	359 (331)	37 (34)						
	50	96	9.8	281	29	137	14	480	49						
40	80	144	15	364	37	198	20	685 (580)	70 (59)	5600	4000	2600	2000	2.95	2.01
40	100	185	19	398	41	260	27	694 (580)	71 (59)	5000	4000	3000	3000	2.00	2.91
	120	205	21	432	44	315	32	694 (580)	71 (59)						
	50	172	18	500	51	247	25	1000	102						
50	80	260	27	659	67	363	37	1300	133	4500	2500	2000	2500	9.61	0 70
50	100	329	34	686	70	466	48	1440 (1315)	147 (134)	4500	3500	3000	2500	0.01	0.70
	120	370	38	756	77	569	58	1441	147 (134)						

1. Moment of inertia: $I = \frac{1}{4} GD^2$ 2. 'The maximum allowable momentary torque value marked by an asterisk(') is restricted by the tightening torque of the flexspline. 3. The parenthesized value indicates the value when the bore of the flexspline has the maximum value (BB type). 4. See "Rating Table Definitions" on Page 12 for details of the terms. 5. When the max allowable momentary torque is expected to be applied, see "Bolt tightening of the flexspline" on p. 75.

Engineering Data

Component Sets

Gear Units

Phase Adjusters

Outline Dimensions

You can download the CAD files from our website: harmonicdrive.net



Structure and shape of the wave generator



There is a difference in appearance of the the ball separator depending on the size.



Gearheads & Actuators

Dimens	ions								Table 065-1 Unit : mm
Symbol		Size	14	17	20	25	32	40	50
	φA h7		50 ·0.025	60 -0.030	70 ⁰ -0.030	85 -0.035	110 .0.035	135 -0.040	170 ⁰ -0.040
	фВ Н7		11 ^{+0.018}	15 ^{+0.018}	20 +0.021	24 ^{+0.021}	32 ^{+0.025}	40 +0.025	50 ^{+0.025}
	C*		11	12.5	14	17	22	27	33
	D*		6.5 +0.2	7.5 0	8 +0.3	10 ^{+0.3}	13 ^{+0.3}	16 ^{+0.3}	19.5 ^{+0.3}
	E		1.4	1.7	2	2	2.5	3	3.5
	F		4.5	5	6	7	9	11	13.5
	$G_1 *$		0.3 +0.2	0.3 +0.2	0.3 +0.2	0.4 0.2	0.5 +0.2	0.6 +0.2	0.8 0.2
	Н		4 .0.1	5 0.1	5.2 ⁰	6.3 ⁰ _{0.1}	8.6 0.1	10.3 ⁰ _{0.1}	12.7 ⁰ 01
	φJ		23	27.2	32	40	52	64	80
	ФК Н6	Standard	11 ^{+0.011}	11 ^{+0.011}	16 ^{+0.011}	20 0 0	30 ^{+0.013}	32 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	44 ^{+0.016}
	φιτιο	BB spec.	11 ^{+0.011}	11 ^{+0.011}	20 +0.013	24 +0.013	32 ^{+0.016}	40 +0.016	50 ^{+0.016}
	L		6	8	12	12	12	12	12
	φМ		3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	4.5	5.5	6.6
	Ν		M3	MЗ	M3	MЗ	M4	M5	M6
	0		-	—	3.3	3.3	4.4	5.4	6.5
	φP		_	—	6.5	6.5	8	9.5	11
	φQ		44	54	62	75	100	120	150
	φR		17	21	26	30	40	50	60
	S		M3	MЗ	M3	M3	M4	M5	M6
	ΦT	Standard	17	19.5	24	30	41	48	62
	Ψ	BB spec.	17	19.5	26	32	42	52	65
	п	Standard	9	8	9	9	11	10	11
	0	BB spec.	9	8	12	12	14	14	14
	ΦV	Standard	3.4	4.5	4.5	5.5	6.6	9	11
	Ψ*	BB spec.	3.4	4.5	3.4	4.5	5.5	6.6	9
	φZı		0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.25	0.25	0.3
	φZ₂		0.25	0.25	0.2	0.2	0.25	0.25	0.3
	ሰፖ.	Standard	0.2	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.3	0.5	0.5
	Ψ <u>~</u> °	BB spec.	0.2	0.25	0.2	0.25	0.25	0.3	0.5
Minimum	фа		38	45	53	66	86	106	133
housing	b		6.5	7.5	8	10	13	16	19.5
clearance	С		1	1	1.5	1.5	2	2.5	3.5
	Mass (kg)		0.06	0.10	0.13	0.24	0.51	0.92	1.9

(Note) Standard dimension for size 14 and 17 is the maximum bore.

- Surface A is the recommended mounting surface.
- The following dimensions can be modified to accommodate customer-specific requirements.
 - Wave Generator:BFlexspline:U and VCircular Spline:L and M

- *C, D and G1 values indicate relative position of individual gearing components (wave generator, flexpline, circular spline). Please strictly adhere to these values when designing your housing and mating parts.
- Due to the deformation of the Flexspline during operation, it is necessary to provide a minimum housing clearance, dimensions ϕ a, b, c

The wave generator, flexspline, and circular spline are not assembled when delivered.

Positional accuracy See "Engineering data" for a description of terms.											
Ra	ıtio	14	14 17 20 25 32				40	50			
Positional	×10 ⁻⁴ rad	4.4	4.4	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9			
Accuracy	arc min	1.5	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			

Hysteresis loss See "Engineering data" for a description of terms.

	Table 06											
Ratio	Size	14	17	20	25	32	40	50				
50	×10 ⁻⁴ rad	7.3	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8				
50	arc min	2.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0				
80 or	×10 ⁻ 4rad	5.8	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9				
more	arc min	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				

Table 066-3

Torsional stiffness

See "Engineering data" for a description of terms.

									Table 000-3
Symbol	<u> </u>	Size	14	17	20	25	32	40	50
	т	Nm	2.0	3.9	7.0	14	29	54	108
	1	kgfm	0.2	0.4	0.7	1.4	3.0	5.5	11
	т	Nm	6.9	12	25	48	108	196	382
	2	kgfm	0.7	1.2	2.5	4.9	11	20	39
	V	×10⁴Nm/rad	0.29	0.67	1.1	2.0	4.7	8.8	17
	N 1	kgfm/arc min	0.085	0.2	0.32	0.6	1.4	2.6	5.0
	V	×10⁴Nm/rad	0.37	0.88	1.3	2.7	6.1	11	21
	n₂	kgfm/arc min	0.11	0.26	0.4	0.8	1.8	3.4	6.3
Reduction	ĸ	×10⁴Nm/rad	0.47	1.2	2.0	3.7	8.4	15	30
ratio	K3	kgfm/arc min	0.14	0.34	0.6	1.1	2.5	4.5	9
50	•	×10 ^{-₄} rad	6.9	5.8	6.4	7.0	6.2	6.1	6.4
	θ,	arc min	2.4	2.0	2.2	2.4	2.1	2.1	2.2
	•	×10 ^{-₄} rad	19	14	19	18	18	18	18
	U2	arc min	6.4	4.6	6.6	6.1	6.1	5.9	6.2
	ĸ	×10⁴Nm/rad	0.4	0.84	1.3	2.7	6.1	11	21
	n ₁	kgfm/arc min	0.12	0.25	0.4	0.8	1.8	3.2	6.3
	~	×10⁴Nm/rad	0.44	0.94	1.7	3.7	7.8	14	29
Reduction	n₂	kgfm/arc min	0.13	0.28	0.5	1.1	2.3	4.2	8.5
ratio 80 or	K	×10⁴Nm/rad	0.61	1.3	2.5	4.7	11	20	37
more	n3	kgfm/arc min	0.18	0.39	0.75	1.4	3.3	5.8	11
	•	×10 ⁻⁴ rad	5.0	4.6	5.4	5.2	4.8	4.9	5.1
	a	arc min	1.7	1.6	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.7
	•	×10 ^{-₄} rad	16	13	15	13	14	14	13
	9	arc min	5.4	4.3	5.0	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.6

* The values in this table are reference values. The minimum value is approximately 80% of the displayed value.

Starting torqu	e See "Engineer	See "Engineering data" for a description of terms. Please use as reference values; the values vary based on use conditions.							
Size	14	17	20	25	32	40	50		
50	3.7	5.7	7.3	14	28	50	94		
80	2.7	3.8	4.8	8.8	19	32	63		
100	2.4	3.3	4.3	7.9	18	29	56		
120	—	3.1	3.8	7.2	16	27	53		

Backdriving to	orque	See "Engineering d conditions.	ata" for a description o	f terms. Please use as	reference values; the	values vary based on ı	use Table 067-2 Unit: Nm
Size	14	17	20	25	32	40	50
50	2.5	3.8	4.4	8.3	17	30	57
80	2.6	3.7	4.9	8.8	19	32	62
100	3.1	4.1	5.2	9.6	21	35	67
120	_	4.5	5.7	11	22	38	74

Ratcheting to	rque See "Eng	gineering data" for a de	scription of terms.				Table 067-3 Unit: Nm
Size	14	17	20	25	32	40	50
50	60	105	150	315	685	1260	2590
80	75	140	245	475	980	1960	3780
100	55	110	180	350	700	1470	2870
120	—	80	165	325	685	1330	2660

Buckling torqu	UE See "Enginee	ring data" for a descrip	tion of terms.				Table 067-4 Unit: Nm
Size	14	17	20	25	32	40	50
All ratios	190	330	560	1000	2200	4300	8000

Engineering Data

Gearheads & Actuators

No-load running torque

Compensation value in each ratio

from table on the right.

No load running torque of the gear varies with ratio. The graphs indicate a value for ratio 100. For other gear ratios, add the compensation values

No-load running torque is the torque which is required to rotate the input side (high speed side), when there is no load on the output side (low speed side).

Measurement condition

Measurement condition						
	lio 100:1					
		News	Harmonic Grease SK-1A (size 20 or larger)			
Lubricant	Grease	iname	Harmonic Grease SK-2 (size 14, 17)			
	abrioation	Quantity	Recommended quantity (See page 71)			
Torque value is measured after 2 hours at 2000rpm input.						

* Contact us for oil lubrication.

Table 068-2 Unit: Ncm Compensation coefficient for no-load running torque

	••••••
Ratio Size	50
14	+0.56
17	+0.95
20	+1.4
25	+2.6
32	+5.4
40	+9.6
50	+18



Input rotational speed: 2000rpm







^{*} The values in this graph are average value "X".

Engineering Data

Component Sets

Graph 069-1

Gear Units

Phase Adjusters

Efficiency

- The efficiency varies depending on the following conditions.
- Reduction ratio
- Input rotational speed
- Load torque
- Temperature
- Lubrication (Type and quantity)

Measurement condition Table 069-1 Installation Based on recommended tolerance Load The rated torque shown in the rating table (see page 63) toraue When load torque is smaller than rated torque, the efficiency value is lowered. See efficiency compensation coefficient below. Harmonic Grease SK-1A (size 20 or larger) Name Grease Harmonic Grease SK-2 (size 14, 17) Lubricant lubrication Quantity Recommended quantity (see page 71)

* Contact us for oil lubrication.

Efficiency compensation coefficient

If the load torque is lower than the rated torque, the efficiency value decreases. Calculate the compensation coefficient Ke from Graph 069-1 to calculate the efficiency using the following calculation example.

* Efficiency Compensation coefficient Ke=1 holds when the load torque is greater than the rated torque.

Efficiency compensation coefficient



Efficiency at rated torque



Engineering Data

Gear Units

Gearheads & Actuators

Planetary Gearhead GP 42 C Ø42 mm, 3.0–15.0 Nm

Ceramic Version



Technical Data				
Planetary Gearhead			straight	teeth
Output shaft		s	tainless	s steel
Bearing at output	prel	oaded	ball bea	arings
Radial play, 12 mm from fla	inge	n	nax. 0.0	6 mm
Axial play at axial load	< 5 1	N		0 mm
	> 5 1	N	max. 0.	3 mm
Max. axial load (dynamic)				150 N
Max. force for press fits				300 N
Direction of rotation, drive	to outp	ut		=
Max. continuous input spe	ed		800	0 rpm
Recommended temperature	re range	Э	-40+	100°C
Number of stages	1	2	3	4
Max. radial load, 12 mm				
from flange	120 N	240 N	360 N	360 N

M 1:2

	Stock program		Part Nu	Imbers								
	Standard program											
	Special program (on request)		203113	203115	203119	203120	203124	203129	203128	203133	203137	203141
Ge	arhead Data											
1	Reduction		3.5:1	12:1	26:1	43:1	81:1	156:1	150:1	285:1	441:1	756:1
2	Absolute reduction		7/2	49/4	26	³⁴³ /8	2197/27	156	²⁴⁰¹ / ₁₆	15379/54	441	756
10	Mass inertia	gcm ²	14	15	9.1	15	9.4	9.1	15	15	14	14
3	Max. motor shaft diameter	mm	10	10	8	10	8	8	10	10	10	10
	Part Numbers		203114	203116	260552*	203121	203125	260553*	203130	203134	203138	203142
1	Reduction		4.3:1	15:1	36:1	53:1	91:1	216:1	186:1	319:1	488:1	936:1
2	Absolute reduction		¹³ / ₃	⁹¹ / ₆	³⁶ /1	637/ ₁₂	91	²¹⁶ /1	4459/24	637/2	4394/9	936
10	Mass inertia	gcm ²	9.1	15	5.0	15	15	5.0	15	15	9.4	9.1
3	Max. motor shaft diameter	mm	8	10	4	10	10	4	10	10	8	8
	Part Numbers		260551*	203117		203122	203126		203131	203135	203139	260554*
1	Reduction		6:1	19:1		66:1	113:1		230:1	353 :1	546:1	1296:1
2	Absolute reduction		6/1	¹⁶⁹ /9		¹¹⁸³ / ₁₈	³³⁸ / ₃		⁸²⁸¹ /36	²⁸⁵⁶¹ /81	546	1296/1
10	Mass inertia	gcm ²	4.9	9.4		15	9.4		15	9.4	14	5.0
3	Max. motor shaft diameter	mm	4	8		10	8		10	8	10	4
	Part Numbers			203118		203123	203127		203132	203136	203140	
1	Reduction			21:1		74:1	126:1		257:1	394:1	676:1	
2	Absolute reduction			21		147/2	126		1029/4	1183/3	676	
10	Mass inertia	gcm ²		14		15	14		15	15	9.1	
3	Max. motor shaft diameter	mm		10		10	10		10	10	8	
4	Number of stages		1	2	2	3	3	3	4	4	4	4
5	Max. continuous torque	Nm	3.0	7.5	7.5	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
6	Max. intermittent torque at gear output	Nm	4.5	11.3	11.3	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5
7	Max. efficiency	%	90	81	81	72	72	72	64	64	64	64
8	Weight	g	260	360	360	460	460	460	560	560	560	560
9	Average backlash no load	0	0.6	0.8	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
11	Gearhead length L1**	mm	41.0	55.5	55.5	70.0	70.0	70.0	84.5	84.5	84.5	84.5

*no combination with EC 45 (150/250 W) and EC-i 40 **for EC 45 flat L1 is -3.6 mm





EC 60 flat Ø60 mm, brushless, 100 Watt



NEW

EC-i 40 Ø40 mm, brushless, 100 Watt

maxon



Wiring diagram for Hall sensors see p. 47 ¹Calculation does not include saturation effect (p. 57/162) Page 438

Page 446

Encoder HEDL 5540 500 CPT, 3 channels

457

459

463

463

465

467

470

473

ESCON 70/10

EPOS4 50/5

FPOS4 70/15

EPOS2 P 24/5

MAXPOS 50/5

DEC Module 50/5

EPOS4 Mod./Comp. 50/5

EPOS4 Mod./Comp. 50/8

Specifications for NCR18650BD

Specifications						
Rated capacity ⁽¹⁾		2980mAh	2910mAh			
Capacity ⁽²⁾	Minimum	3030mAh	2935mAh			
Capacity	Typical	3180mAh	3080mAh			
Nominal voltage		3.0	6V			
	Method	CC	-CV			
Charging	Voltage	4.20V	4.15V			
	Current	Std. 0.3CA				
Weight (max.) Without tube		49	.5g			
	Charge	10 to +	-45° C			
Temperature	Discharge	-20 to	+60°C			
	Storage	-20 to +50° C				
Energy density (3)	Volumetric	630 Wh/l	615 Wh/l			
	Gravimetric	217 Wh/kg	212 Wh/kg			

Dimensions



 $^{(1)}\,At~20^\circ~C~^{(2)}\,At~25^\circ~C$

⁽³⁾ Energy density is calculated using bare cell dimensions (without tube).

When designing a pack, refer to the cell's mechanical drawing for precise dimensions.





PYROFILTM TR50S 12K

Typical Fiber Properties

Tow Tensile	Strength	710 4,900	ksi MPa	USP 7601
	Modulus	35 240	msi GPa	JISK /001
Typical Density		0.066 1.82	lb.in ³ g/cm ³	JISR 7601
Typical Yield	12K	620 800	yds/lb mg/m	JISR 7601

Typical Mechanical Properties

	0°	Strength*	430 2950	ksi MPa	ASTM D3039 / Vf=60.0%
	0	Modulus*	20.6 142	msi GPa	ASTM D3039 / Vf=60.0%
rensne rroperties	000	Strength	11 79	ksi MPa	ASTM D3039 / Vf=56.0%
	90	Modulus	1.3 9	msi GPa	ASTM D3039 / Vf=56.0%
Compressive Properties	0°	Strength*	230 1600	ksi MPa	SRM 1-88 / Vf=60.0%
		Modulus*	18.7 130	msi GPa	SRM 1-88 / Vf=60.0%
	0°	Strength	430 3000	ksi MPa	ASTM D790 / L/d=40 / Vf=60.0%
Flowural Proportion		Modulus	19 130	msi GPa	ASTM D790 / L/d=40 / Vf=60.0%
Flexural Properties	000	Strength	20 140	ksi MPa	ASTM D790 / L/d=16 / Vf=56.0%
	90	Modulus	1.3 9	msi GPa	ASTM D790 / L/d=16 / Vf=56.0%
Short-Beam Shear	Strength		13 90	ksi MPa	ASTM D2344 / L/d=4 / Vf=56.0%

- 250F Epoxy Prepregs

- Resin: Mitsubishi Rayon #340 resin system

- Tensile and compressive properties are normalized to 60% fiber volume

5900 88th Street Sacramento, CA 95828, USA Tel: 916.386.1733 Fax: 916.383.7668 Web: www.grafil.com ANSI- RAB OMS A 10 ISO 9001:2000 FM 56416 07/2008

6, Orchard Court Binley Business Park Harry Weston Road Binley, Coventry CV3 2TQ UK Tel: +44 (0) 2476 447272 Fax: +44 (0) 2476 449565

Important: The technical information contained herein is not to be construed as warranties and no patent liability can be assumed. This information can be used for material selection purposes only.

McMASTER-CARR.

Compact Square-Face DC Gearmotor

12V DC,0.6 rpm At 50 in.-lbs. Torque

\$62.74 Each 6409K11







Maximum rpm	1
rpm @ Continuous Operating Torque	0.6 rpm @ 800 inoz.
Starting Torque	800 inoz.
hp	0.0003
Amps @ Full Load	0.1
Electrical Connection	Terminal Lugs
Overall	
Length	3 1/4"
Width	2 3/4"
Height	3"
Shaft	
Diameter	5/16"
Length	1"
Center to Base (A)	1.43"
Shaft Rotation	Clockwise or Counterclockwise
Shaft Type	D-Profile
Shaft Orientation	Parallel
Performance Rating	Continuous Duty
Motor Type	Brushed, Permanent Magnet
Service Factor	1
Enclosure Material	Die Cast Zinc
Gear	
Туре	Spur
Material	Acetal, Metal
Bearing Type	Sleeve
Insulation	
Class	В
Maximum Temperature	266° F
Mounting Orientation	Horizontal, Vertical, Inverted, Any Angle
Mounting Location	Face
RoHS	RoHS 3 (2015/863/EU) compliant

Drive low-speed, high-torque applications in small spaces. Gearmotors combine a motor and speed reducer to lower speed and increase torque. Wire for clockwise or counterclockwise rotation.

Use amotor speed control(notincluded) to adjust the motor speed.

To convert AC power to DC power, see AC to DC transformers.



The information in this 3-D model is provided for reference only.